

# Planning Team Report

### Planning Proposal for Woollamia Farmlets Small Lot Rural Subdivisions

	Proposal Title :		Planning Proposal for Woollamia Farmlets Small Lot Rural Subdivisions				
	Proposal Summar	ry :	The planning proposal seeks to resolve uncertainty in relation to the development potential of small lot rural subdivisions in the Woollamia Farmlets area. The land is currently zoned Rural 1(d) (General Rural) under the Shoalhaven LEP 1985. Under the draft Shoalhaven LEP 2009 (currently with Shoalhaven Council for consideration of submissions made during public exhibition), the land is proposed to be zoned RU2 Rural Landscape.				
			The proposal aims to investigate and resolve the development potential of small lot rural subdivisions. It seeks an amendment to either the Shoalhaven LEP 1985 or the draft Shoalhaven LEP 2009, whichever is notified at the time, to enable a maximum of one dwelling house on each of 56 allotments, where 13 have an approved dwelling and 43 have no dwelling entitlement.				
	PP Number :		PP_2011_SHOAL_00	8_00	Dop File No :	11/20928	
Pr	oposal Details		_			e	2
	Date Planning Proposal Receive	d :	30-Nov-2011		LGA covered :	Shoalhaven	
	Region :		Southern		RPA:	Shoalhaven	City Council
	State Electorate :		SOUTH COAST		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning	l Proposal
	LEP Type :		Precinct				
L	Location Details						
	Street :	Woollamia Road					
	Suburb : Woo		ollamia	City :	Woollamia	Postcode :	2540
	Land Parcel :	Refer to Planning Proposal for a complete list of lots					
	Street :	Greenway Esplande					
	Suburb :	Woo	ollamia	City :	Woollamia	Postcode :	2540
	Land Parcel :	Refer to Planning Proposal for a complete list of lots					

٠

#### **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details**

Contact Name :	Lisa Kennedy
Contact Number :	0242249457
Contact Email :	lisa.kennedy@planning.nsw.gov.au

#### **RPA Contact Details**

Contact Name :	Jessica Rippon
Contact Number :	0244293111

Contact Email :

#### **DoP Project Manager Contact Details**

Contact Name :

Contact Number :

Contact Email :

#### Land Release Data

	Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	
	Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	South Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
	MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
	Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
	No. of Lots :	56	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	43
	Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
	The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
	If No, comment :			
	Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No	×	
	If Yes, comment :			
ę	Supporting notes			
	Internal Supporting			

 

 Internal Supporting Notes :
 The Woollamia Farmlets Investigation area is made up of 183 lots ranging in size from 695m2 to 22ha. The area is located 4km north west of Huskisson and is bounded by Currambene Creek to the north, Woollamia Nature Reserve to the south and is disected east-west by Woollamia Road.

 The area contains a mix of rural residential lots and smaller residential sized lots. The majority of the area is currently zoned Rural 1(d) under the Shoalhaven LEP 1985 and a development standard of 40ha is required to construct a dwelling on land within this zone.

The area was initially subdivided in 1917 with further rural residential lot subdivision along Willowford and Pritchard Roads in 1927. In 1964 the land was given a non-urban zoning under the Interim Development Order No. 1 with a minimum lot size of 40ha being required to erect a dwelling. Each ownership holding of lots at 1964 were given entitlement to one dwelling (existing holdings) and in certain circumstances the creation of additional lots with dwelling entitlements (concessional lots). This has resulted in some lots maintaining and acting upon dwelling entitlements established through holding ownership in 1964, whilst many lots which were held in the one ownership in 1964 have changed ownership and currently have no dwelling entitlement under the current zoning provisions despite the fact that they have similar physical characteristics.

In addition some lots have established dwelling approvals through the use of State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP) 1 and through subsequent subdivision relying on concessional allotment entitlements.

The Jervis Bay Settlement Strategy, 2003 has identified the need to resolve the small lot rural subdivisions and their development potential for the Woollamia Farmlets area.

The historic subdivison and landholding patterns along with the development of the land has resulted in three distinct development areas emerging. This planning proposal covers the land in the vincinity of Greenway Esplande and Woollamia Road. There are 34 vacant lots on the northern side of Woollamia Road on which a dwelling is not permissible. Twenty nine lots range in area between 687m2 and 1486m2 with an average area of 750m2. The remaining five larger lots have areas of 1ha, 1.3ha, 1.33ha, 2.9ha and 13.3ha.

On the southern side of Woollamia Road there are 22 lots with an area between 2049m2 and 3535m2 of which 13 contain an approved dwelling and 8 are vacant with no dwelling entitlement. There is also one lot of 22.59ha (Lot 249 DP 27575) which also does not contain a dwelling entitlement.

On the 16th November 2010 Shoalhaven Council resolved to remove lots wholly within the 1% AEP year flood level from any planning proposal seeking a dwelling entitlement. The existing 1% AEP year flood level map for the planning proposal area is unvertified data. An updated flood study is to be undertaken to confirm the extent of flooding. It is expected that the lots which are flood affected will be removed from the planning proposal when the flood study is completed.

#### Adequacy Assessment

#### Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The intended outcome of the planning proposal is to enable a maximum of one dwelling house on 56 allotments, where 13 have an approved dwelling and 43 have no dwelling entitlement.

#### Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

Shoalhaven Council has identified that the intended outcome will be acheived via an amendment to either the Shoalhaven LEP 1985, Schedule 9 - Development for additional purposes or the Draft Shoalhaven LEP 2009 Clause 1.7 Lot Size Map. Which instrument is amended is dependent upon the finalisation of the draft Shoalhaven LEP 2009.

The Department recommends that the intended outcome be acheived via an amendment to both the zoning map and lot size map of the draft Shoalhaven LEP 2009. The Department considers that due to 50 lots out of a total of 56 lots having an area of less than 3500m2, that a more appropriate zone for these lots is R5 Large Lot Residential. The remaining 6 lots greater than 1ha would maintain the RU2 zone but have a reduced minimum lot size to enable a dwelling.

Should the environmental studies reveal lands with significant environmental values and development constraints then these lands should be given an appropriate zoning.

Shoalhaven Council has identified that an amendment to the draft Shoalhaven LEP 2009 would also include a local Clause 7.19 which will set out additional matters for consideration prior to the granting of development consent for the purposes of a dwelling. The Department does not consider that this clause is necessary as the lots have land which is identified on the draft Natural Resource Sensitivity Biodiversity Map and Water Map. Thus the local Clauses 7.5 Biodiversity and 7.6 Water would apply to any development application lodged for a dwelling on each of the six lots. An additional local clause would therefore not be necessary.

#### Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

\* May need the Director General's agreement

1.2 Rural Zones
 1.5 Rural Lands
 2.1 Environment Protection Zones
 2.2 Coastal Protection
 2.3 Heritage Conservation
 3.1 Residential Zones
 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
 4.3 Flood Prone Land
 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
 6.3 Site Specific Provisions

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 :

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 14—Coastal Wetlands SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008

e) List any other matters that need to be considered : N/A

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No

If No, explain :

The planning proposal is consistent with the Jervis Bay Settlement Strategy, 2003 in that "the development potential of the Woollamia Farmlets will be investigated through a review of lot sizes and configuration in order to accommodate on site effluent management and meet the guiding principles and policy actions of the Strategy. Lots larger than 2ha may be able to provide for one dwelling to be built on each lot provided the guiding principles and policy actions of the Strategy can be acheived. Detailed environmental studies will be undertaken to determine the actual development potential of the smaller lots. Some of the smaller lots may need to be consolidated to an appropriate size to accomomodate on site effluent disposal."

The South Coast Regional Strategy allows the rezoning of urban areas which are identified in endorsed settlement strategies including the Jervis Bay Settlement Strategy. The Strategy also requires that future development in the catchments of SEPP14 coastal wetlands will need to demonstrate no net impact on the hydrology, water quality or ecology of these wetlands. Water quality investigations are proposed to be undertaken for the planning proposal so it is unknown whether the planning proposal is consistent or otherwise with this action of the Strategy.

The planning proposal is consistent with the S117 directions 1.5 Rural Lands, 2.2 Coastal Protection, 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection Zones and 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies. In accordance with S117 direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire

Protection, Council is to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service prior to proceeding to public exhibition.

The planning proposal is inconsistent with the S117 direction 1.2 Rural Zones in that it is increasing the permissible density of land within a rural zone. This inconsistency is of minor significance due to the limited cropping and grazing capacity of the land and the adjoining small lot rural subdivisions on blocks of a similar size and charcteristics and is justified by the Jervis Bay Settlement Stratgey seeking an investigation of the development potential of the lands.

It is unknown whether the planning proposal is consistent or inconsistent with the S117 directions 2.1 Environment Protection Zones, 2.3 Heritage Conservation, 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils, and 4.3 Flood Prone Land. These directions are to be addressed once the environmental studies have been completed and the development potential of the lands is identified.

The Department has recommended that a more appropriate zoning for the small lots could be R5 Large Lot Residential. Should this zoning be proposed then Council will need to consider the S117 direction 3.1 Residential Zones. It is unknown at this stage whether the planning proposal is consistent or inconsistent with this direction.

The planning proposal is inconsistent with the S117 direction 6.3 Site Specific Provisions in that is is proposing development standards and requirments for the erection of a dwelling (including the provision of asset protection zones) on suitable lots. The Department does not consider that a clause with site specific provisions is necessary. The existing Clause 21 in the Shoalhaven LEP 1985 and proposed Clauses 7.5 and 7.6 in the draft Shoalhaven LEP 2009 provide additional heads of consideration when assessing a development proposal on the land.

#### Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

#### Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

Only a locality map identifying the affected lots was provided in the planning proposal. Should the planning proposal proceed as an amendment to the Shoalhaven LEP 1985 then no maps will be produced. However, should the planning proposal proceed as an amendment to the draft Shoalhaven LEP 2009, then there will need to be an amendment to the zoning and minimum lot size maps. Maps clearly showing these changes will be required for exhibition.

#### Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Shoalhaven Council proposes to exhibit the planning proposal in accordance with the requirements of section 57 of the EP&A Act and the Gateway Determination. Council proposes to place the proposal on public exhibition for 28 days. Public notification will include a notice in local newspapers, notice on Council's website, written notice to adjoining landowners and hard copies available at Council's Administrative buildings.

#### Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

#### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment : The planning proposal addresses the Department's "Guide for preparing a planning proposal" and is considered adequate for a Gateway Determination with conditions.

#### **Proposal Assessment** Principal LEP: Due Date : June 2012 Comments in relation The draft Shoalhaven LEP 2009 was placed on public exhibition from 18th July to 14th to Principal LEP : October 2011. Shoalhaven Council is proposing to submit a S68 report to the Department in March 2012. The LEP is not expected to be made until July 2012. The planning proposal proposes an amendment to the draft Shoalhaven LEP 2009 via changes to the lot size map and insertion of a local Clause 7.19. If the planning proposal is processed before the draft Shoalhaven LEP 2009 is finalised then the planning proposal will require an amendment to the existing Shoalhaven LEP 1985. The planning proposal should be placed on public exhibition with both scenarios so that the community can view both amendment formats. **Assessment Criteria** Need for planning The current zoning and development standards for the Woollamia Farmlets area need to proposal: be amended to resolve uncertainty in relation to small lot rural subdivisions and the land's development potential. Resolution of the rezoning and development potential of the lands would provide social and economic benefits including the provision of certainty for the landholders and the broader community on the future use of the land. Consistency with As previously identified, the planning proposal is consistent with the Jervis Bay Settlement strategic planning Strategy and the South Coast Regional Strategy. framework : The proposal is consistent with Council's Community Strategic Plan in that it meets Objective 2.2 - Population and urban settlement growth that is ecologically sustainable carefully planned and managed to meet the needs of the community, and the Strategy 2.2.1 - Develop and implement land use zones and related strategies for future growth of the City, based on principles of connectivity, ecological sustainability, flexibility and accessibility. The proposal is also consistent with Council's Delivery Program which aims to resolve the future small lot rural subdivisions located in the Jervis Bay Region consistent with the Jervis Bay Settlement Strategy. Environmental social No environmental studies or reports have been undertaken as part of the planning economic impacts : proposal. Shoalhaven Council has prepared the draft Woollamia Farmlets Small Lot Investigation Area Preliminary Desktop Assessment, October 2010. The Assessment covers land identified in the Jervis Bay Settlement Strategy as the Woollamia Farmlets Investigation Area including the area of this planning proposal. No site specific details are included in the Assessment. The Assessment recommends that further studies be completed as part of a planning proposal. It is noted from Council's mapping that there is significant vegetation and habitat corridor on a large number of lots. These issues are to be investigated as part fo the environmental studies. The resolution of the development potential of the lots would provide social and economic benefits including the resolution of the status of the land for landowners to provide them with certainity for the value and use of the land in the future and certainity for the broader

community about the future uses of the land.

ssessment Proces	SS					
Proposal type :	Precinct		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days		
Timeframe to make LEP :	24 Month	4:	Delegation :	DDG		
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)		nent and He	lanagement Authority eritage			
Is Public Hearing by the	e PAC required?	No				
(2)(a) Should the matte	r proceed ?	Yes				
If no, provide reasons :	Authority.	frame is rec	n 56(2) should also include the commended to allow sufficient			
Resubmission - s56(2)(	b): <b>No</b>					
If Yes, reasons :						
Identify any additional s	studies, if required, :					
Fauna Heritage Bushfire Flooding Other - provide details If Other, provide reasor			(*) 			
	sal issues and optior	ns are to be	investigated and consideration	n given to whether	retriculated	
Council is also propos	sing to complete a st	udy on Acid	d Sulphate Soils.			
Identify any internal cor	nsultations, if required	Γ:	4			
No internal consultation	on required					
Is the provision and fun	ding of state infrastru	cture releva	nt to this plan? <b>No</b>			
If Yes, reasons :	Council has indicated that additional servicing and infrastruture requirements will be established as the planning proposal progresses through the Gateway Determiniation process. Any costs associated with the rezoning and development of the land will be borne by the benefitting landholders.					
	It is noted that the eastern section of Greenway Esplande is not constructed and hence eight lots have no formed vehicular access. The remaining lots have access to a formed Council maintained road.					
	Development of in the environme		uld involve on-site effluent dis	oosal which would	be assessed	
uments						
				me		

111130 Woollamia Farmlets Small Lot Rural Planning	Proposal	Yes
Proposal version2.pdf		
101001 Woollamia Farmlets Small Lot Rural Planning	Proposal	Yes
Proposal Preliminary Desktop Assessment draft.pdf		
101102 Woollamia Farmlets Small Lot Rural Planning	Proposal	Yes
Proposal SCC Report.pdf		
Report Woollamia Farmlets Small Lot Rural Planning	Study	Yes
Proposal Jervis Bay Settlement Strategy.pdf		
Report Woollamia Farmlets Small Lot Rural Planning	Мар	Yes
Proposal zoning existing Shoalhaven LEP 1985.pdf		

## Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	<ul> <li>1.2 Rural Zones</li> <li>1.5 Rural Lands</li> <li>2.1 Environment Protection Zones</li> <li>2.2 Coastal Protection</li> <li>2.3 Heritage Conservation</li> <li>3.1 Residential Zones</li> <li>4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils</li> <li>4.3 Flood Prone Land</li> <li>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection</li> <li>5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies</li> <li>6.3 Site Specific Provisions</li> </ul>
Additional Information :	lt is recommended that: 1. The planning proposal proceed as a precinct planning proposal with an exhibition period of 28 days.
	2. The RPA is to complete environmental studies on flora and fauna, flooding, water cycle management, bushfire, heritage, onsite effluent management and acid sulfate soils. These studies are to be included as part of the public exhibition material.
	3. Following the completion of a flooding study, the RPA is to amend the planning proposal to exclude all lots wholly within the 1% AEP Flood Planning Area.
	4. Following completion of the environmental studies, the RPA is to consider amending the planning proposal to reflect appropriate zones for areas identified for Environmental Protection and whether the R5 Large Lot Residential zone is more preferable to the RU2 zone for some areas.
	5. The RPA is not to commence community consultation until the environmental studies have been completed and copies have been provided to the Department's regional team for review.
	6. The planning proposal is to be placed on public exhibition with both a proposed amendment to the Shoalhaven LEP 1985 and a proposed amendment to the draft Shoalhaven LEP 2009. Maps clearly identifying the proposed changes to the zoning and minimum lot sizes in LEP 2009 are to be exhibited with the planning proposal.
	7. The planning proposal is to be completed within 18 months.
	8. The RPA is to consult with the Jervis Bay Marine Park Authority, NSW Rural Fire Service, Office of Environment and Heritage and Southern Rivers Catchment Management Authority.
	9. The Gateway note that the planning proposal is consistent with the S117 directions 1.5 Rural Lands, 2.2 Coastal Protection, 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection Zones and 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies.

10. In accordance with S117 direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection, the RPA is to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service prior to proceeding to public exhibition.

11. The planning proposal is inconsistent with the S117 direction 1.2 Rural Zones. The Director General can be satisfied that the inconsistency has been justified by the need to achieve some form of development potential for the lots in line with the Jervis Bay Settlement Strategy whilst at the same time protecting the environmental values of the land.

12. The planning proposal is inconsistent with the S117 direction 6.3 Site Specific Provisions in that is is proposing development standards and requirements for the erection of a dwelling on each lot. The Department does not consider that a clause with site specific provisions is necessary and the inclusion of such a provision is not supported. The existing Clause 21 in the Shoalhaven LEP 1985 and proposed Clauses 7.5 and 7.6 in the draft Shoalhaven LEP 2009 provide additional heads of consideration when assessing a development proposal on the land.

13. It is unknown whether the planning proposal is consistent with the S117 directions 2.1 Environment Protection Zones, 2.3 Heritage Conservation, 3.1 Residential zones, 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils, and 4.3 Flood Prone Land. The RPA should clarify the consistency of the planning proposal to these S117 directions and provide justification for any inconsistencies.

14. That approval to exhibit the planning proposal not be given under section 57(2) and that the RPA is required to resubmit the planning proposal to the Department's Southern Regional Office for review of the revisions made prior to exhibition.

Supporting Reasons :

The conditions are necessary to ensure that the recommended changes to the planning proposal are made prior to public exhibition.

an Tain

Date:

Signature:

Printed Name: